



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,711	12/31/2003	Kurian Jacob	CS20960RL	9214

20280 7590 05/16/2006

MOTOROLA INC  
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45  
ROOM AS437  
LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, KHAI MINH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/749,711

Applicant(s)

JACOB, KURIAN

Examiner

Khai M. Nguyen

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Amendment***

1. This Office Action is response to Amendment filed on 2/15/2006  
Claims 1-24 are pending.

***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Milman  
(U.S.Pub-20040014479).

Regarding claim 24, Milman teaches an apparatus comprising:

means for communicating a service request to a service provider agent (fig.1,  
paragraph 0024-0025);

means for providing location information associated with a user of the service to  
the service provider agent (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025, 0028); and

means for communicating service transaction data with a service provider  
dispatched responsive to the service request and the location information (fig.1,  
paragraph 0008-0009, 0028)

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all  
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over  
Milman (U.S.Pub-20040014479) in view of Himmelstein (U.S.Pub-20040162064).

Regarding claim 1, Milman teaches a method of providing a service to a user of  
the service (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025) comprising the steps of:

establishing a first communication connection (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025), the  
first communication connection being between a user communication device and a  
service provider agent (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025);

requesting a service from the service provider agent via the first communication  
connection (fig.1, paragraph 0028);

providing location information identifying the location of the user to the service  
provider agent (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025, 0028);

dispatching a service provider to the user based upon the requested service and the location information (fig.1, paragraph 0008-0009, 0028);

establishing a second communication connection (paragraph 0029); and

completing a service transaction via the second communication connection upon rendering of the service by the service provider (paragraph 0008, 0036)

Milman fails to specifically disclose an establishing a second communication connection, the second communication connection being between the user communication device and the service provider. However, Himmelstein teaches an establishing a second communication connection (fig.1, paragraph 0002, 0028), the second communication connection being between the user communication device and the service provider (fig.1, paragraph 0002, 0028, *mobile unit 16 can communicate with another mobile unit 16*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an establishing a second communication connection, the second communication connection being between the user communication device and the service provider as taught by Himmelstein with Milman teaching in order to allows mobile to communicate with neighboring mobile without requiring a base station.

Regarding claim 2, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the first communication connection comprises a wireless communication connection selected from the group of wireless communication connections (see Milman, fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025) comprising: a cellular radiotelephone

communication connection (see Milman, fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025), a paging communication connection and a wireless data communication connection (see Milman, fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025).

Regarding claim 3, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of providing location information comprises determining location information at the user communication device (see Milman, paragraph 0024-0025, 0028) and communicating the location information to the service provider agent via the first communication link (see Milman, fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025)

Regarding claim 4, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the second communication connection is established relative to the proximity of user communication device and the service provider (see Himmelstein, fig.1, abstract).

Regarding claim 5, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the second communication connection comprises a communication connection selected from the group of communication connections comprising a Bluetooth communication connection and an 802.11-type communication connection (see Himmelstein, paragraph 0060).

Regarding claim 6, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of dispatching a service provider comprising obtaining service preference data for the user (see Himmelstein, paragraph 0060, 0097).

Regarding claim 7, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of completing a service transaction comprises communicating an information token (see Milman, paragraph 0008, 0036).

Regarding claim 8, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 7, wherein the information token comprises service instructions (see Milman, paragraph 0008, 0036).

Regarding claim 9, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 7, wherein the information token comprises payment data (see Milman, paragraph 0008, 0036).

Regarding claim 10, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of requesting a service is affected in a single user action (see Milman, paragraph 0024-0025).

Regarding claim 11, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 10, wherein the single user action comprises selection of a bookmark for establishing the first communication connection and requesting the service (see Milman, paragraph 0028).

Regarding claim 12, Himmelstein and Milman further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of dispatching a service provider to the user comprises informing the user to transit to a location of the service provider (see Milman, paragraph 0008-0009, 0028, see Himmelstein, paragraph 0060, 0097).

5. Claims 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaskar (U.S.Pub-20040224702) in view of Milman (U.S.Pub-20040014479) in further view of Himmelstein (U.S.Pub-20040162064).

Regarding claim 13, Chaskar teaches a user communication device (fig.2) comprising:

a processor coupled to a memory (fig.2, element 62, 64, 49), the memory including a control program for controlling operation of the processor (fig.2, element 50);

a user interface coupled to the processor (fig.2, element 56, 58);

a transceiver coupled to the processor (fig.2, element 12, 50),

Chaskar fails to specifically disclose the transceiver being operable to establish a first communication connection with a service provider agent; and wherein, the processor is operable responsive to an input at the user interface to cause the transceiver to communicate via the first communication connection a service request to the service provider agent, the service request including location information relating to the user communication device, and to communicate service transaction data between the service provider, which is dispatched to the user responsive to the service request and the location information, via the second communication connection upon rendering of the requested service. However, Milman teaches the transceiver being operable to establish a first communication connection with a service provider agent (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025); and wherein, the processor is operable responsive to an input at the user interface to cause the transceiver to communicate via the first communication

connection a service request to the service provider agent (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025), the service request including location information relating to the user communication device (fig.1, paragraph 0024-0025), and to communicate service transaction data between the service provider (paragraph 0008, 0036), which is dispatched to the user responsive to the service request and the location information (paragraph 0008-0009, 0028), via the second communication connection upon rendering of the requested service (paragraph 0024-0025, 0028). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transceiver being operable to establish a first communication connection with a service provider agent; and wherein, the processor is operable responsive to an input at the user interface to cause the transceiver to communicate via the first communication connection a service request to the service provider agent, the service request including location information relating to the user communication device, and to communicate service transaction data between the service provider, which is dispatched to the user responsive to the service request and the location information, via the second communication connection upon rendering of the requested service as taught by Chaskar with Milman teaching in order to provide customer enjoys rapid response, such as one-hour on site service, with immediate service and billing information.

Chaskar and Milman fails to specifically disclose a second communication connection with a service provider. However, Himmelstein teaches a second communication connection with a service provider (fig.1, paragraph 0002, 0028, 0097, *mobile unit 16 can communicate with another mobile unit 16*);. Therefore, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a second communication connection with a service provider as taught by Himmelstein, Chaskar and Milman teaching in order to allows mobile to communicate with neighboring mobile without requiring a base station.

Regarding claim 14, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the location information comprises user communication device determined location data (see Milman, paragraph 0024-0025).

Regarding claim 15, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the service request comprises user service preference data (see Milman, paragraph 0024-0025).

Regarding claim 16, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the service request comprises user preference look-up data (see Milman, paragraph 0028).

Regarding claim 17, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the first communication connection comprises a communication connection selected from the group of communication connections comprising a cellular radiotelephone communication connection (see Milman, paragraph 0024-0025), a paging communication connection and a wireless data communication connection (see Milman fig. 1, paragraph 0024-0025, see Himmelstein, paragraph 0031).

Regarding claim 18, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the second communication connection is proximity limited (see Himmelstein, fig.1, abstract).

Regarding claim 19, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the second communication connection comprises a communication connection selected from the group of communication connections (see Milman, paragraph 0024-0025) comprising a Bluetooth communication connection and an 802.11-type communication connection (see Himmelstein, paragraph 0060).

Regarding claim 20, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the service transaction data comprises an information token (see Milman, paragraph 0008, 0036).

Regarding claim 21, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 20, wherein the information token comprises data selected from the group of data (see Milman, paragraph 0008, 0036) comprising: service instruction data and service payment data (see Milman, 0008-0009, 0028).

Regarding claim 22, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, comprising a location detector coupled to the processor to provide the location information (see Chaster, paragraph 0003, 0047-0048).

Regarding claim 23, Himmelstein, Milman and Chaskar further teaches the user communication device of claim 13, wherein the processor is operable to affect the service request responsive to a single user action (see Chaster, paragraph 0003, 0047-0048).


### **Conclusion**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khai M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571.272.7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571.272.7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khai Nguyen  
Au: 2617

5/9/2006  
  
GEORGE ENG  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER